

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 06306 01 OF 02 021800 Z

44

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-12 ADP-00 EURE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09

H-02 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01

SS-15 USIA-12 ACDA-19 NEA-10 GAC-01 TRSE-00 MBFR-03

SAJ-01 OMB-01 RSR-01 /140 W
----- 087498

P R 021747 Z MAY 73

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4783

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION BERLIN

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 BONN 06306

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PGOV, PFOR, GW, GE, WB, UR, UN

SUBJECT: UN ENTRY OF TWO GERMAN STATES: STATEMENTS IN
SECURITY COUNCIL BY ALLIED REPRESENTATIVES

REF: BONN 5356

SUMMARY: GENERAL CONSENSUS WAS REACHED AT THE BONN GROUP
MEETING ON MAY 2 THAT THE THREE ALLIED REPRESENTATIVES
SHOULD MAKE STATEMENTS TO THE SECURITY COUNCIL AFTER
THE VOTE ON THE FRG AND GDR MEMBERSHIP APPLICATIONS,
AND THAT THE CONTENT OF SUCH STATEMENTS SHOULD BE
GENERALLY CONSULTED WITH THE SOVIETS BEFOREHAND. SOME
DISAGREEMENT REMAINS ON THE POLITICAL POINTS WHICH
SHOULD BE COVERED IN SUCH STATEMENTS. END SUMMARY.

1. THE FONOFF REP (BLECH) SAID HIS AUTHORITIES HAD
CONSIDERED THE POSSIBILITY OF REQUESTING THAT NO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 06306 01 OF 02 021800 Z

STATEMENTS BY THE FOUR POWERS BE MADE IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL. IT HAD BEEN CONCLUDED, HOWEVER, THAT THIS WOULD BE UNUSUAL AND MIGHT CALL ATTENTION TO THE SPECIAL NATURE OF THE GERMAN APPLICATIONS. AT THE SAME TIME, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BELIEVED THAT THE THREE POWERS SHOULD DRAFT SUCH STATEMENTS FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF AVOIDING TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE FRICTION WITH THE SOVIETS OR ITS ALLIES. THIS MEANT THAT THE POLITICAL CONTENT SHOULD BE REDUCED TO A MINIMUM. BLECH SAID THERE WERE CERTAIN THINGS WHICH COULD BE INSERTED SO AS TO CAUSE NO OFFENSE TO THE SOVIETS, BUT OTHERS, SUCH AS AN EXPLICIT MENTION OF QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS OR REFERENCE TO THE FRG REPRESENTATION OF BERLIN, WHICH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BELIEVED SHOULD BE INCLUDED ONLY IF THERE WAS AN INDICATION THAT THE SOVIETS THEMSELVES INTENDED TO MAKE OBJECTIONABLE STATEMENTS WHICH WOULD HAVE TO BE ANSWERED.

2. IN PRACTICE, BLECH THOUGHT THE CONTENT OF THE STATEMENTS COULD BE AS FOLLOWS:

A. GENERAL REMARKS WELCOMING MEMBERSHIP OF THE TWO GERMAN STATES, ETC.

B. OBLIQUE REFERENCE TO THE SPECIAL NATURE OF THE GERMAN SITUATION BY NOTING THAT POSITIVE ACTION ON THE MEMBERSHIP APPLICATIONS HAD BEEN MADE POSSIBLE BY A STRING OF AGREEMENTS INCLUDING THE QA, THE QD AND THE BASIC TREATY WHICH HAD BEEN CONCLUDED IN RECENT YEARS. THIS WOULD PROVIDE THE POLITICAL CONTENT NECESSARY WITHOUT RAISING THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTROVERSY WITH THE SOVIETS. BLECH THOUGHT THE SOVIETS MIGHT EVEN FAVOR SUCH A REFERENCE SINCE IT WOULD ALLOW BOTH SIDES TO MAKE CLEAR THAT THEIR RESPECTIVE LEGAL POSITIONS, AS REFLECTED IN THE VARIOUS AGREEMENTS, REMAINED UNCHANGED.

3. THE FRENCH REP (PLAISANT) AGREED WITH THE GENERAL CONCEPT DESCRIBED BY BLECH, BUT WAS SURE THAT HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD INSIST ON SPECIFIC MENTION OF THE FACT THAT THE ALLIES HAD AUTHORIZED THE FRG TO REPRESENT WEST BERLIN IN THE UN. RECALLING THAT THIS HAD BEEN PART OF THE ORIGINAL SCENARIO AGREED IN DECEMBER, PLAISANT SAID HIS AUTHORITIES BELIEVED THAT RATHER THAN CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 06306 01 OF 02 021800 Z

DRAWING A NEGATIVE REACTION FROM THE SOVIETS, INCLUSION OF SUCH A REFERENCE WOULD IN FACT SMOOTH OVER SUCH DIFFICULTIES BY MAKING CLEAR THAT IT WAS THE
E E E E E E

ADP000
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 06306 02 OF 02 021754 Z

44

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-12 ADP-00 EURE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09

H-02 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01

SS-15 USIA-12 ACDA-19 NEA-10 GAC-01 TRSE-00 MBFR-03

SAJ-01 RSR-01 OMB-01 /140 W
----- 087430

P R 021747 Z MAY 73

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4784

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USMISSION BERLIN

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 02 BONN 06306

SOMETHING, IT WOULD BE NECESSARY FOR THE THREE POWERS TO REACT IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL. HOWEVER, BLECH SAID THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BELIEVED STRONGLY THAT THE THREE POWERS SHOULD NOT, BY STATEMENTS OF THEIR OWN, PRESENT THE SOVIETS WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO REACT AGAINST WESTERN VIEWS. THIS MEANT THAT THERE SHOULD BE NO PRETEXT ON WHICH THE SOVIETS MIGHT HANG AN OBJECTIONABLE STATEMENT. IF STATEMENTS OF DIFFERING LEGAL POSITIONS WERE TO BE EXCHANGED IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL, IT SHOULD BE DONE AT SOVIET RATHER THAN ALLIED INSTIGATION.

5. THE US AND UK REPS SAID THEY AGREED WITH THE GERMAN POSITION. THE FRENCH REP REPEATED HIS VIEW THAT THE SOVIETS WOULD WELCOME AN ALLIED STATEMENT ON BERLIN
CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 06306 02 OF 02 021754 Z

REPRESENTATION AND THAT INFORMING THEM BEFOREHAND OF OUR INTENTION TO INCLUDE ONE IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL REMARKS WOULD HEAD OFF THE DANGER OF OBJECTIONABLE SOVIET COMMENTS ON THIS SUBJECT. AFTER FURTHER DISCUSSION, IT WAS AGREED THAT THE PROBLEM SHOULD BE

REFERRED TO AUTHORITIES FOR FURTHER COMMENT.

6. EMBASSY COMMENT: WE TEND TO DOUBT THE FRENCH CONTENTION THAT THE SOVIETS WOULD WELCOME AN ALLIED STATEMENT ON BERLIN REPRESENTATION IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL. WE BELIEVE THAT IF CONFRONTED WITH THIS POSSIBILITY, THE SOVIETS WOULD FEEL OBLIGED TO RESPOND WITH A STATEMENT OF THEIR OWN VIEWS ON THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE FRG IS AUTHORIZED TO REPRESENT THE WESTERN SECTORS IN THE UN. SINCE WE HAVE ALREADY BEEN WARNED THAT THE SOVIETS INTEND TO TAKE A VERY LIMITED VIEW OF THE FRG COMPETENCE IN THIS AREA (SEE BONN 15458, 11/13/72) WE BELIEVE IT IS IN OUR INTEREST TO AVOID IF POSSIBLE ANY MENTION OF THIS SUBJECTION IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL.

7. DEPARTMENT' S VIEWS REQUESTED.
CASH

CONFIDENTIAL
NMAFVVZCZ

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: GW, PFOR, WB
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 02 MAY 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973BONN06306
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: BONN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730533/aaaahkbp.tel
Line Count: 188
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 BONN 5356
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: boyleja
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 02 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <02-Aug-2001 by shawdg>; APPROVED <10-Sep-2001 by boyleja>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> mcm 971117
Subject: UN ENTRY OF TWO GERMAN STATES: STATEMENTS IN SECURITY COUNCIL BY ALLIED REPRESENTATIVES
TAGS: PGOV, GE, UN, UR
To: BERLIN
EUR
LONDON
MOSCOW
NATO BRUSSELS
PARIS
SECSTATE WASHDC

USUN NEW YORK

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005